

## CO Oxidation on Supported Single Pt Atoms: Experimental and ab Initio Density Functional Studies of CO Interaction with Pt Atom on $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(010) Surface

Melanie Moses-DeBusk,<sup>†</sup> Mina Yoon,<sup>‡</sup> Lawrence F. Allard,<sup>†</sup> David R. Mullins,<sup>§</sup> Zili Wu,<sup>‡,§</sup> Xiaofan Yang,<sup>†</sup> Gabriel Veith,<sup>†</sup> G. Malcolm Stocks,<sup>†</sup> and Chaitanya K. Narula<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Materials Science & Technology Division, <sup>‡</sup>Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, and <sup>§</sup>Chemical Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6133, United States

**(5)** Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** Although there are only a few known examples of supported single-atom catalysts, they are unique because they bridge the gap between homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis. Here, we report the CO oxidation activity of monodisperse single Pt atoms supported on an inert substrate,  $\theta$ -alumina (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), in the presence of stoichiometric oxygen. Since CO oxidation on single Pt atoms cannot occur via a conventional Langmuir–Hinshelwood scheme (L–H scheme) which requires at least one Pt–Pt bond, we carried out a first-principles density functional theoretical study of a proposed pathway



which is a variation on the conventional L–H scheme and inspired by the organometallic chemistry of platinum. We find that a single supported Pt atom prefers to bond to  $O_2$  over CO. CO then bonds with the oxygenated Pt atom and forms a carbonate which dissociates to liberate  $CO_2$ , leaving an oxygen atom on Pt. Subsequent reaction with another CO molecule regenerates the single-atom catalyst. The energetics of the proposed mechanism suggests that the single Pt atoms will get covered with  $CO_3$  unless the temperature is raised to eliminate  $CO_2$ . We find evidence for  $CO_3$  coverage at room temperature supporting the proposed mechanism in an in situ diffuse reflectance infrared study of CO adsorption on the catalyst's supported single atoms. Thus, our results clearly show that supported Pt single atoms are catalytically active and that this catalytic activity can occur without involving the substrate. Characterization by electron microscopy and X-ray absorption studies of the monodisperse Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are also presented.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The success of emission treatments can be attributed to heterogeneous catalysis where noble metals supported on high surface area oxides, Pt, Rh, and/or Pd supported on  $\gamma$ -alumina and ceria-zirconia, convert toxic gases to inert ones.<sup>1</sup> These noble metal catalysts oxidize CO and hydrocarbons and reduce nitrogen oxides in engine emissions. The widely accepted mechanism of CO oxidation on transition metals, the Langmuir–Hinshelwood scheme (L–H),<sup>2</sup> has been extensively studied by both theoretical<sup>3,4</sup> and experimental methods.<sup>5</sup> The size of the metal particles is considered an important factor in defining the performance of the catalyst.<sup>6-11</sup> A recent detailed study of CO oxidation on supported platinum nanoparticles, by Iglesia et al., shows that CO oxidation can proceed via the L-H mechanism or a CO-assisted, O2 dissociation step leading to a O-O-C=O intermediate that decomposes to form free  $CO_2$ and chemisorbed O.<sup>12</sup> The smallest size supported particles studied are single atoms supported on metal oxides.<sup>7,11,13-15</sup> Supported single atoms can be isoelectronic with organometallic compounds and might exhibit catalytic activity similar to that of organometallic species in homogeneous environments. For example, density functional theoretical modeling of a palladium single atom supported on magnesium oxide<sup>16</sup> has

shown it to be a d<sup>10</sup> palladium species which would be isoelectronic with a 14-electron bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0), believed to be an intermediate in the catalytic Heck arylation<sup>17</sup> and cyclization reactions.<sup>18</sup> Samples of supported single Pd atoms have indeed been synthesized and shown to be catalytically active for CO oxidation and acetylene cyclotrimerization.<sup>7,19</sup>Another important example of single Pd atom catalysis is heterogeneous hydrogenation by Pd atoms isolated on the Cu surface.<sup>20</sup> Support participation during CO oxidation has been proposed for the Pd/MgO catalyst. Platinum single atoms supported on iron oxide  $(Pt/Fe_2O_3)^{11}$ have also been synthesized by wet chemical methods and found to be active for catalytic oxidation of CO. The proposed mechanism of CO oxidation on Pt/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is a modified L-H scheme where CO absorbs on Pt atoms while oxygen for CO oxidation is provided by the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support. Thus, both Pd/ MgO and Pt/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> require support participation for CO oxidation. This raises a question about the catalytic activity of single atoms supported on inert substrates which cannot participate in the catalytic process. To our knowledge, indirect

Received: February 20, 2013 Published: August 2, 2013 references of single-atom catalysis on inert substrates are limited to  $Pt/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  and  $Rh/Al_2O_3.^{21,22}$  For  $Pt/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst, single Pt atoms are inferred from the structural study of 2-D rafts. IR study of formaldehyde decomposition on  $Rh/Al_2O_3$  allowed the authors to infer single atoms as active species.

We recently reported our results on the first-principles study of group 10 and 11 atoms supported on a  $\theta$ -alumina surface.<sup>2</sup> We found that Ni, Pt, and Pd are d<sup>10</sup> species with d-s hybrid character that can interact with the 2p orbital of the supports' surface oxygen. Unlike Pd/MgO and Pt/Fe2O3, CO oxidation cannot proceed via the conventional L-H or modified L-H mechanism on  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> because of the inert nature of  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. However, all three of these species find analogues in organometallic chemistry, for example,  $(Ph_3)_2M$ , where M = Ni, Pd, or Pt.<sup>24–26</sup> In analogy with organometallic counterparts, the supported single atoms of Ni, Pd, and Pt can be considered 14electron complexes. As such, the supported single atoms should be catalytically active, and the catalytic reactions on both supported atoms and their organometallic counterparts should, in principle, follow identical pathways. In order to demonstrate that supported single atoms on inert substrates are indeed catalytically active, we synthesized single Pt atoms supported on  $\theta$ -alumina by wet chemical routes and found them to be catalytically active for CO oxidation. The results are presented here.

Successful formation of samples of single supported Pt atoms was confirmed by aberration-corrected electron microscopy (ACEM). In situ infrared CO absorption studies and EXAFS also support formation of single supported Pt atoms. The catalyst is catalytically active, as seen by its ability to oxidize CO. Considering the isoelectronic nature of  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with (Ph<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>M, we propose a CO-oxidation pathway that involves intermediates that have been observed in reactions of (Ph<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Pt with O<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub>. The proposed mechanism can be considered a variation of the L–H mechanism that does not require support participation.

#### 2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

**2.1.**  $Pt/\theta$ - $Al_2O_3$  **Preparation.** Samples of chloroplatinic acid were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification.  $\theta$ - $Al_2O_3$  was synthesized from an alumina sol–gel by procedures described in the literature.<sup>27,28</sup> For catalyst preparation, a sample of alumina powder was added to an aqueous solution of chloroplatinic acid as it was stirred. Water was gradually evaporated with mild warming in an oil bath over ~30 h while keeping the mixture stirred or on a rotovap with mild vacuum, resulting in a free-flowing powder. Powder was transferred to an alumina crucible, pyrolyzed in an ambient environment oven at a 1 °C/min to 450 °C, and held at that temperature for 4 h to obtain the catalyst samples.

**2.2.**  $Pt/\theta$ - $Al_2O_3$  **Characterization**. X-ray powder diffraction patterns were recorded on a Scintag PAD-V diffractometer. BET surface areas of alumina powders were obtained on a Quantachrome Autosorb system. Transmission electron micrographs of catalyst samples were recorded on a Hitachi HD-2000 and a JEOL 2200FS FEG 200kv scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM). The JEOL STEM with a hexapole aberration-corrector (ACEM) in the high-angle annular dark-field (HA-ADF) mode was used for atomic resolution images.

X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) data were recorded at the Pt  $L_{III}$ -edge (11564 eV) at beamline X19a at the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory. A Si(111) doublecrystal monochromator was used and detuned by 30% to reject higher harmonics. XAS was measured simultaneously in fluorescence and transmission modes. Fluorescence was measured using a large area passivated implanted planar silicon (PIPS) detector oriented perpendicular to the upcoming beam. Ion chambers for measuring  $I_o$  and  $I_t$  were filled with nitrogen and argon, respectively. Pt absorption was measured out to k = 16.

Samples were ground to a fine powder, mixed with BN, and pressed into a 13 mm diameter pellet. The typical absorbance of the Pt,  $\mu(x)$ , was less than 0.1. Pellets were mounted in a Nashner-Adler reaction cell.<sup>29</sup> A gas flow pipe terminated near the sample's surface to allow reduction of the sample in a mixture of 5% H<sub>2</sub>/He. Measurements were recorded while the sample was in pure He at 25 °C < T < 30 °C. The sample was heated by a cartridge heater inserted in the sample mount. A Pt foil was placed downstream of  $I_t$  and in front of an additional ion chamber ( $I_r$ ) during measurements as an energy reference.

Programs ATHENA (version 0.8.061) and ARTEMIS (version 0.8.014) were used to reduce and fit the data, respectively.<sup>30</sup> Data reduction consisted of pre-edge subtraction, background determination, normalization, and spectral averaging.  $k^3$ -weighted EXAFS functions, X(k), were Fourier transformed and fit in R space. EXAFS was fit over the first-shell neighbors, R = 1.0-3.0 Å. The sample containing 1% Pt was fit using k = 2-15 Å<sup>-1</sup>. Poor signal-to-noise limited the usable range for the 0.18% Pt sample to  $k = 2-14 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ . This resulted in 16 and 15 independent points in the 1% and 0.18% samples, respectively. The as-received 1% Pt sample was fit over just the Pt–O region (1–2 Å) to derive the best value for  $\sigma^2$  for this scattering pair. Likewise, the reduced 1% Pt sample was fit over just the Pt–Pt region (2–3 Å) to derive the best value for  $\sigma^2$  for this pair. These values were then constrained in all subsequent fits in order to minimize the correlation between N and  $\sigma^2$  in the reported results.  $E_{0}$ , the inner potential correction, was fit using a single variable for all scattering pairs. The total number of free variables was therefore five or seven depending on whether the EXAFS was fit to two or three scattering pairs, respectively.

**2.3.** CO Oxidation on  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The activity of the catalysts was determined using a custom-built flow reactor to monitor conversion of carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide. Powders of catalyst (0.086g) were loaded into a quartz U tube (i.d.= 4 mm) and supported on both ends with glass wool. A mixture of 27 sccm/min comprising high-purity helium (99.99%, Air Liquide), oxygen (ultrahigh purity, Air Liquide), and carbon monoxide (research grade, Matheson, stored in an aluminum cylinder) was delivered from three Sierra Instruments Mass Flow valves at rates of 25, 1, and 1 sccm, respectively. This corresponds to a space velocity of 20 930 h<sup>-1</sup> (18 837 mL h<sup>-1</sup>  $g_{cat}^{-1}$ ) for all catalyst samples. The U-tube reactor was placed in a custommade Mellen furnace, heated at a rate of 1.644 °C/min, and held at 260 °C for 10 min before letting the furnace cool down. The temperature of the catalyst bed was determined using an embedded Ktype thermocouple. Product evolution was monitored with an Ametek Dymaxion mass spectrometer (0-200 amu range). The plots show CO conversion as a function of temperature where the temperature is that of the catalyst bed and CO conversion is calculated as  $[CO_2]/$  $([CO] + [CO_2]).$ 

In order to compare platinum catalysts, turnover frequencies (TOF) were calculated at both 20% CO conversion and 200 °C based on dispersions calculated from irreversible  $H_2$  chemisorption. Here, TOF is moles of CO converted per second divided by the total moles of surface platinum atoms and described in more detail in the Supporting Information.

**2.4. Infrared Study during CO Adsorption.** In situ diffuse reflectance Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (DRIFTS) measurement was performed on a Nicolet Nexus 670 spectrometer equipped with a MCT detector cooled by liquid nitrogen and an in situ chamber (HC-900, Pike Technologies) with capability to heat samples to 900 °C. The exiting stream was analyzed by an online quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS) (OmniStar GSD-301 O2, Pfeffer Vacuum).<sup>31</sup> All samples studied by DRIFTS were first heated to 150 °C under a flow of 100 sccm of 4% H<sub>2</sub> in helium at a rate of 3 °C/ min and held at that temperature for 45 min. Samples were then cooled to the desired temperature under a flow of 100 sccm of helium. Spectra were obtained in a flowing helium environment on samples

after exposure to 1.3% CO in helium for 5 min followed by 5 min He purging (100 sccm). Space velocity conditions were kept constant from sample to sample. Each DRIFT spectra was recorded at a frequency of 300 scans per 10 min. As a control experiment, we examined CO adsorption on  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and found no CO adsorption.

2.5. Computational Methods. Our total energy calculations are based on ab initio density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP).<sup>32–34</sup> A generalized gradient approximation (GGA) in the Perdew-Wang-91 form<sup>33-36</sup> was employed for the electron exchange and correlation potential. Kohn-Sham equations were solved using the projector-augmented wave (PAW) approach for describing electronic core states.<sup>37,34</sup> The plane-wave basis set was truncated at a kinetic energy cutoff of 500 eV. A Gaussian smearing function with a width of 0.05 eV was applied near the Fermi level. Ionic relaxations were considered converged when the forces on the ions were <0.03 eV/Å. Details of construction of the (010)  $\theta$ -alumina surface have been described previously by us.<sup>23</sup> Briefly, a charge neutral  $2 \times 4$  supercell was constructed from optimized bulk  $\theta$ -alumina containing 180 atoms. Cations and anions are aligned on the same planes parallel to the surface, and both  $O_h$  and  $T_d$  aluminum are exposed. The optimized location of Pt is between two oxygen atoms on adjacent rows. The total energies of various optimized species are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Total Energy of Optimized Structures

| structure                                   | total energy (eV) | structure       | total energy (eV) |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| $Pt/\theta$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | -1315.7614        | VI              | -1345.8156        |
| Ι   | -1326.4198        | VII             | -1337.2274        |
| II  | -1320.6408        | СО              | -14.7764          |
| III   | -1332.4053        | CO <sub>2</sub> | -22.9544          |
| IV  | -1348.4249        | O <sub>2</sub>  | -8.7847           |
| V   | -1343.1760        | 0               | -0.09153          |
|   |                   |                 |                   |

Results were compared with all-electron first-principles quantum mechanical calculations using FHI-aims code.<sup>39</sup> We employed standard "tight" settings including numerical atom-centered orbitals basis set of *tier1* for Al and Pt and *tier2* for C and O.<sup>35</sup> The exchange-correlation potential of the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) version of the generalized-gradient approximation (GGA)<sup>40</sup> was used, and also relativistic correction within a Zora scalar scheme<sup>39</sup> was applied.

The *k*-point sampling was done with a  $4 \times 2 \times 4$  number of mesh points for bulk and adopted large vacuum size (>30 Å) in the supercell configuration. We evaluated the full vibrational spectra of the films and calculated the vibrational free energy ( $F_{\rm vib}$ ) using the harmonic approximation<sup>41</sup> as

$$F_{\rm vib} = \int d\omega g(\omega) \{ \hbar \omega/2 + k_{\rm B} T \ln[1 - \exp(-\hbar \omega/k_{\rm B} T)] \}$$
(1)

where  $k_{\rm B}$  is the Boltzmann constant and  $g(\omega)$  is the phonon density of states depending on vibrational frequencies  $(\omega)$ . Here, we take into account all vibrational modes contributing to the atomic degree of freedom. The Gibbs free energy (G) is a measure for the structural stabilities at a finite T and pressure (P) and can be written as G = U + $PV + F_{\rm vib}$ , where U is the internal energy including the zero-point energy and V is the volume of the films. We compare the changes in the Gibbs free energy  $(\Delta G)$  of Pt-alumina films upon gas adsorption. Here we assume that the changes in film volumes are negligible. Our reference systems are Pt-alumina films with the same crystalline orientation as gas adsorbed films and individual ideal gas in its most preferred form. The ideal gas equation is applied for the chemical potential of the reference gas. The change of the chemical potential of the given gas is calculated using the internal energies including from the electronic contribution and the zero-point energies.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**3.1. Evidence for Monoatomic Pt Dispersion in Pt** $/\theta$ **-Al**<sub>2</sub>**O**<sub>3</sub>**.** Samples for this study were prepared by impregnation

of chloroplatinic acid on  $\theta$ -alumina. Samples with 0.18%, 1.0%, and 2% Pt ( $_{wt}$ %) loadings are referred to as 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 1.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and 2.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, respectively. In order to prepare  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with practically no single atoms, a sample of 2.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was sintered at 650 °C in ambient atmosphere and the sample is referred to as 2.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-650. In addition, a 0.12%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample was also prepared for comparison of EXAFS data for samples with 0.18 and 1.0% Pt loading. The solution method with low loading appears to be the simplest method to prepare monoatomically dispersed platinum on  $\theta$ -alumina.<sup>11</sup> The X-ray powder diffraction patterns (XRD) of  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matches with JCPDS 23-1009, confirming its phase purity. Employing the Scherer formula, the average size of  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles was calculated to be 27.6 nm. The BET surface area of  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is 189 m<sup>2</sup>/g. After Pt loading by the standard wet impregnation method, the surface area did not change.

Atomic level imaging, by ACEM, of 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> shows only single atoms and no rafts were found after examination of multiple areas. Unlike the Pt/FeO<sub>x</sub> system,<sup>11</sup> platinum single atoms do not appear to be embedded in alumina and move on the surface under the beam over time. The 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Figure S1, Supporting Information) and 2.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Figure 1) samples exhibit two distinct Pt environments, single



**Figure 1.** ACEM HAADF-STEM images  $0.18\% \text{ Pt}/\theta \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $2.0\% \text{ Pt}/\theta \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  (A and B, respectively). (C and D) Identical enlargements of a portion of B with the red dot used as a reference point. Numbers in image D correspond to various observed Pt–Pt distances summarized in Table 2.

Pt atoms and 10–20 atom agglomerates. Close examination of Pt–Pt distances in the atom agglomerates in ACEM of 2.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> reveals that most of the platinum atoms are more than 3 Å apart from each other, suggesting that the agglomerates predominately consist of single platinum atoms which are probably bonded through oxygen (Table 2).

These ACEM-STEM pictures of 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are very similar to the ones recently reported for Pt/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Pt/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> systems, respectively.<sup>11,21</sup> It is important to point out that the *z* dimension in the micrographs of 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 2.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are not known, the distances are only the *x*-*y* projection. The Pt atoms could exist on the front surface, back surface, or within the alumina

| Table 2 | . Pt–Pt | Distances | in | Pt | Rafts | of | 2.0% | Pt/6 | $\theta$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | ; |
|---------|---------|-----------|----|----|-------|----|------|------|--|---|
|---------|---------|-----------|----|----|-------|----|------|------|--|---|

| marker | distance (Å) | marker | distance (Å) |
|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| 1      | 3.82         | 11     | 4.00         |
| 2      | 2.61         | 12     | 3.80         |
| 3      | 4.53         | 13     | 5.53         |
| 4      | 3.45         | 14     | 3.28         |
| 5      | 4.35         | 15     | 3.30         |
| 6      | 2.60         | 16     | 2.27         |
| 7      | 3.64         | 17     | 2.79         |
| 8      | 3.97         | 18     | 3.14         |
| 9      | 4.87         | 19     | 3.65         |
| 10     | 2.09         |        |              |
|        |              |        |              |

particles. Therefore, the Pt–Pt distances are likely much bigger than the table values. However, they do appear to be monoatomically dispersed. The monatomic dispersion seen in the 0.18% sample is not surprising since monatomic Pt dispersion has been observed at this Pt loading level for Pt/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Also, Kwak et al.<sup>38</sup> point out that monatomic dispersion of Pt is normal for samples with a Pt loading of 1% or less and show 2-dimensional rafts of Pt atoms bonded through oxygen (Pt–O–Pt bonds) at higher loadings of platinum on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The Pt–Pt distances in these rafts are estimated to be 2.7–2.8 Å. These rafts ultimately form 3-dimensional platinum particles upon sintering.<sup>21</sup>

X-ray adsorption near-edge spectra (XANES) for the 0.18%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 1.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are shown in Figure 2. The XANES spectrum from fresh 1.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Figure 2 right, black line) indicates that the Pt was highly oxidized based on the intensity of the "white line" at 11 568 eV.<sup>42</sup> After heating the sample in He at 150 °C for 30 min, the Pt became slightly less oxidized as indicated by the decrease in the white line intensity (Figure 2 right, red line). After reduction in 5%H<sub>2</sub>/He at 150 °C for 60 min, the Pt became considerably reduced (Figure 2 right, blue line).

The white line from the fresh 0.18%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Figure 2 left, black line) is indistinguishable from PtO<sub>2</sub>. Note that while the 1.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample is highly oxidized, the white line intensity is slightly lower than the PtO<sub>2</sub> reference. After exposure to 5%H<sub>2</sub>/He at 150 °C for 60 min, the spectrum of 0.18%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was unchanged (Figure 2 left, blue line), indicating that the Pt remained fully oxidized.

The nonphase-corrected,  $k^3$ -weighted EXAFS at the Pt L<sub>III</sub>edge for the 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are shown in Figure 3. Consistent with the XANES results (Figure 2), the EXAFS of 0.18%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> indicates very little difference between the fresh sample and after it was reduced in 5%H<sub>2</sub>/He at 150 °C. The dominant feature in both is Pt-O. The best fit for the fine structure indicates that the Pt has 6 oxygen neighbors at 1.94 Å (Table 3). The feature at 2.72 Å is barely above the noise level and could be considered insignificant as reported previously for single Pt atoms on  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> single crystals.43 EXAFS and XANES results were found to be reproducible on a fresh batch of sample and the feature at 2.72 Å did not change in intensity. In our efforts to ensure that this feature is indeed noise, we prepared a sample with 0.12% platinum loading (0.12%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) (Figure S2, Supporting Information) and found the feature at 2.72 Å to be barely above noise level. Considering that our synthetic method involves impregnation and decomposition of chloroplatinic acid, it would be highly unlikely to keep Pt rafts, if present, at a constant level to give rise to the feature at 2.72 Å. However, this feature can be fitted to Pt–Pt interaction (N 4.1 (1.6), R(0.02) 2.72,  $\sigma^2$  0.007, and  $\Delta E_0$  18). This feature definitely is different from the feature at 2.7 originating from Pt-Pt interaction in rafts in 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Table 3).

In analogy with Pt/FeO<sub>x</sub>, which shows Pt–O and Pt–Fe interaction in its EXAFS,<sup>11</sup> isolated Pt atoms bonded to the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> would result in Pt–O and Pt–Al nearest neighbors. In the EXAFS of Pt/FeO<sub>x</sub>, the Pt–O and Pt–Fe features are seen at ~1.7 and ~2.5 originating from Pt atom bonding to oxygen of the substrate and the interaction of Pt with the Fe of the substrate. In 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Pt–Al scattering was not evident when we modeled with Pt–Al bonds at 2.4 Å. On average, the results suggest that 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is predominantly isolated atoms. Thus, the results of EXAFS, XANES, and ACEM support the presence of single platinum atoms supported on  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface. This bonding picture is different from that reported for Pt/FeO<sub>x</sub> where platinum atoms replace iron in a iron oxide substrate.

The most intense feature in the EXAFS of the fresh 1.0% Pt/  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Figure 3 right, black line) occurs near 1.6 Å. This feature can be fit to nearest neighbor oxygen at a distance of 1.99 Å. The phase shift places the peak position at a distance that is shorter than the actual position. The best fit indicates 4.8 nearest O neighbors surrounding the Pt (see Table 3). The next largest feature near 2 Å can be fit to two Al nearest neighbors at a distance of 2.39 Å. This feature can also be fit to



Figure 2. Pt  $L_{III}$ -edge XANES for 0.18% Pt on  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (left) and 1.0% Pt on  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (right).



Figure 3. Non-phase-corrected,  $k^3$ -weighted Fourier transform of Pt L<sub>III</sub>-edge EXAFS for 0.18% Pt on  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (left) and 1.0% Pt on  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (right).

# Table 3. EXAFS Fitting Results for Pt on $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Several Reference Materials<sup>*a*</sup>

| sample  | neighbor | Ν   | R (Å) | $\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> ) | $\frac{\Delta E_0}{(\mathrm{eV})}$ |
|---|----------|-----|-------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 0.18% $Pt/\theta$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> as received       | 0        | 6.2 | 1.94  | 0.003                        | 18                                 |
| 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> reduced 150 °C   | 0        | 5.7 | 1.93  | 0.003                        | 17                                 |
| 1.0% $Pt/\theta$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> as received        | 0        | 4.8 | 1.99  | 0.003                        | 10                                 |
|   | Al       | 2.0 | 2.39  | 0.003                        | 10                                 |
|   | Pt       | 1.9 | 2.79  | 0.007                        | 10                                 |
| 1.0% Pt/θ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> annealed<br>150 °C         | 0        | 2.3 | 1.97  | 0.003                        | 7                                  |
|   | Al       | 2.1 | 2.37  | 0.003                        | 7                                  |
|   | Pt       | 2.4 | 2.75  | 0.007                        | 7                                  |
| 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> reduced<br>150 °C | 0        | 0.6 | 1.93  | 0.003                        | 4                                  |
|   | Pt       | 5.6 | 2.73  | 0.007                        | 4                                  |
| Pt foil (25 °C)   | Pt       | 12  | 2.72  | 0.005                        | 18                                 |
| $\alpha$ -PtO <sub>2</sub>  | 0        | 6   | 2.02  | 0.002                        | 12                                 |
|   | Pt       | 6   | 3.10  | 0.002                        | 12                                 |

<sup>*a*</sup>N, R,  $\sigma^2$ , and  $\Delta E_0$  are the coordination number, interatomic distance, Debye–Waller factor, and shift in the edge energy. Errors in the fitting parameters are N ± 20% (except Pt foil and PtO<sub>2</sub> which were fixed), R ± 0.02 Å,  $\sigma^2 \pm 20\%$ , and  $\Delta E_0 \pm 20\%$ .

a Pt–O scattering pair at a similar distance; however, computational modeling as well as chemical intuition suggests that this scattering pair is unlikely. Finally, there is intensity apparent between 2.3 and 3.1 Å. The only pair that results in even a nominally reasonable fit to the data is Pt–Pt at a distance of 2.79 Å arising from Pt–O–Pt linkages in view of the XANES data that indicated that the Pt was oxidized and not metallic.

When 1.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is annealed at 150 °C in He, the Pt– Al and Pt–Pt coordination and distances remain essentially unchanged. However, the Pt–O coordination decreases from ca. 4.8 to 2.3. This is consistent with the XANES (Figure 2), where the decrease in the white line intensity indicates a decrease in the oxidation of the Pt. Presumably, annealing the sample resulted in loss of terminal O capping the Pt on the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Reducing the sample at 150 °C in 5%H<sub>2</sub>/He produced a significant change in the EXAFS. The Pt–O feature decreased and the Pt–Pt increased. The best fit for the Pt–O indicated at most one Pt–O neighbor at a distance of 1.93 Å. The apparent Pt–Pt coordination increased to ca. 5.6 at a distance of 2.73 Å. A reasonable fit was obtained without inclusion of a Pt–Al scattering pair. As with the other fitting results, the coordination numbers are averages for all Pt environments over the entire sample and could be greater or smaller in any given type of structure. These results indicate sintering in the reducing atmosphere.

Increasing the platinum concentration to 2% in 2.0%  $Pt/\theta$ - $Al_2O_3$  does not have a significant impact on the Pt agglomerates, and the ACEM images of the 2.0%  $Pt/\theta$ - $Al_2O_3$  sample resemble those of 1.0%  $Pt/\theta$ - $Al_2O_3$  with single atoms and 10–20 atom rafts (Figure 4, left, and Figure S1, Supporting



**Figure 4.** HAADF-STEM images of 2.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (left) and 2.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-650 (right). (Left) Obtained using an aberration-corrected microscope.

Information). As expected, thermal treatment leads to extensive sintering, and the images of 2.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-650 show primarily well-defined Pt particles, although occasional rafts and very large particles can be seen in ACEM images (Figure 4 right, Figure S3, Supporting Information).

**3.2.** CO Oxidation on Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. CO oxidation experiments were carried out at a fixed flow mixture of CO, oxygen, and helium at a constant hourly space velocity (constant weight of catalyst). CO oxidation on 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and 2% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> initiates at approximately 200, 175, and 130 °C, respectively (Figure 5). The results clearly show that supported single Pt atoms are catalytically active for oxidation of CO. In comparison, CO oxidation on 2% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-650 which contains predominantly large particles initiates at ~200 °C, which is significantly higher than the comparable 2% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample.

The temperature of reaction was raised to 260 °C, and after 10 min the sample of 0.18%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was taken out of the CO oxidation reactor and examined by ACEM, which showed



Figure 5. CO conversion to  $CO_2$  for the  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples.

that sample is still predominantly single atoms, although rare particles were also seen (Figure S4, Supporting Information). A trend to lower activation temperatures for catalytic oxidation of CO is observed as the loading of the catalytically active Pt species increases. For turnover frequency calculation, we determined Pt dispersion in our samples which was near 100% for each of the 0.18%, 1.0%, and 2.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples but dropped for 2% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-650 as expected due to the large Pt particles. Turnover frequencies based on dispersion estimates were calculated at two conditions, 20% CO oxidation and 200 °C, for the purpose of performance comparison, Table 4. A detailed description of dispersion and TOF calculation can be found in the Supporting Information.

| <b>m</b> 11 |    | 0     | •       | c   | TOT  |
|-------------|----|-------|---------|-----|------|
| Table       | 4. | Com   | narison | ot  | TOES |
| 1 4010      | •• | COIII | partoon | ••• | 1010 |

|  | Pt<br>loading<br>(wt%) | CO <sub>ox</sub> at<br>200 °C | TOF  x 102  (s-1)a | temp at<br>20%<br>CO <sub>ox</sub> | $ \begin{array}{c} \text{TOF} \\ \times \ 10^2 \\ (\text{s}^{-1})^a \end{array} $ |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 0.18% $Pt/\theta$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>                  | 0.18                   | 1.40%                         | 1.3                | 251 °C                             | 18.7  |
| 1.0% $Pt/\theta$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>                   | 1.0                    | 8.1%                          | 1.4                | 212 °C                             | 3.4   |
| 2.0% $Pt/\theta$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>                   | 2.0                    | 59.9%                         | 5.1                | 182 °C                             | 1.7   |
| $2.0\% \text{ Pt}/\theta\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-}650\text{C}$ | 2.0                    | 0.9%                          | 0.2                | 248 °C                             | 3.4   |

<sup>*a*</sup>TOF was calculated based on Pt dispersion (mol CO<sub>ox</sub>/mol sutface Pt). Pt dispersion was extrapolated from H<sub>2</sub> chemisorption strong/ difference isotherms for both 2.0% Pt samples. Dispersion of 0.18% Pt was estimated by the Pt particle size according to  $D = 1/d_{\rm pt}$ .

Comparison of TOFs at 20% CO conversion for our samples of 0.18%, 1.0%, and 2.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> shows that 0.18%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is the most active platinum, but 20% CO conversion occurs at different temperatures.

**3.3. CO Oxidation on a Single Pt Atom on**  $\theta$ **-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.** *Theoretically Proposed Mechanism.* As mentioned earlier, the conventional Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism is not applicable for CO oxidation on single atoms in 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> since adjacent sites for CO and O<sub>2</sub> adsorption are not available. Also, the variation of the Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism, proposed for single atoms of Pd and Pt in Pd/MgO and Pt/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, are not applicable since  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is inert and cannot host CO or oxygen in the manner of MgO or Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Inspired by homogeneous catalysis by organometallic complexes, we propose a variation of the L–H scheme for supported single Pt atoms on an inactive support. The mechanism builds upon our recently published<sup>23</sup> first-principles study of Pt atoms supported on a  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (010) surface. As described previously, a charge neutral 2 × 4 supercell was constructed from optimized bulk  $\theta$ -alumina-containing 180 atoms. Cations and anions are aligned on the same planes parallel to the surface, and both  $O_h$  and  $T_d$  aluminum are exposed. We found that a platinum atom adsorbs on the  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (010) surface in a bridging position between 2 oxygen atoms, which are each bonded to one Al<sub>td</sub> and one Al<sub>oh</sub> atom, and is in a d<sup>10</sup> oxidation state. Thus, Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (010) is isoelectronic with bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum(0), (Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>Pt, which is a d<sup>10</sup> 14-electron complex.<sup>26</sup> Since (Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>Pt is a known catalyst, we expected the isoelectronic alumina-supported Pt to also be catalytically active, and our experimental observation of CO oxidation confirms this suggestion.

For experimentalists, it is not surprising that this "14-electron platinum atom" undergoes oxidative addition of oxygen and one can expect the experimentally synthesized single supported Pt atom to be oxidized. Indeed, we find the experimentally synthesized single supported atoms, 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, to be oxidized by XANES as described in the previous section. The 0.18%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample shows six oxygen neighbors when freshly prepared and starts to lose some oxygen upon reduction. Oxidative addition of oxygen is also a key step in platinum particle catalyzed oxidation reactions and has been a subject of several theoretical investigations.<sup>44–47</sup> Experimental evidence for various physisorbed and chemisorbed oxygen species on Pt particles also exists.<sup>48</sup> In general, molecular oxygen adsorbs on two neighboring Pt atoms on the surface of the Pt particle, and dissociative adsorption leads to atomic oxygen on the Pt surface. However, single Pt atoms cannot adsorb molecular oxygen in such a mode. Previous studies on oxygen adsorption on supported single atoms are limited to a recent theoretical investigation of atomic oxygen adsorption on a Pt/ $\alpha$ -alumina system.<sup>49</sup> There are two possible bonding modes for oxygen: side-on chelating and terminal monodentate. We find that the energetically preferred mode for oxygen bonding to Pt is side-on chelating (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Interaction of Oxygen with  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



The bonding of oxygen on platinum single atoms by side-on chelating has been observed previously in a 16-electron complex,  ${}^{50,51}$  (Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>Pt(O<sub>2</sub>), formed from oxidation of (Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>Pt. Our attempts to optimize a structure with oxygen bonded to the platinum of Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in a terminal monodentate mode were unsuccessful as the oxygen molecule continued to bend toward platinum, suggesting that the chelating bonding mode is preferred with both oxygen atoms bonded to platinum.

The optimized structure, configuration I (see Scheme 1 and Figure S5, Supporting Information), exhibits no magnetization suggesting no unpaired electron and the Pt is either in a  $d^8$  or a  $d^{10}$  oxidation state. The density of states analysis of Pt/ $\theta$ -alumina (Figure 6) clearly shows unoccupied  $d_{rr}$  orbitals



**Figure 6.** Projected density of states (PDOS) plots of Pt d orbitals (black) and adsorbed oxygen 2p orbitals (blue) for configuration I (see Scheme 1). Filled green area is the contribution of  $Sd_{xy}$ , and filled yellow and gray areas are the contributions of  $p_y$  and  $p_{xy}$  respectively. Here, energy (*E*) is with respect to the Fermi level ( $E_F$ ).

supporting a d<sup>8</sup> structure. This bonding picture, (alumina)Pt-(O<sub>2</sub>), is similar to that of (Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>Pt(O<sub>2</sub>). In analogy to (Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>Pt(O<sub>2</sub>),<sup>51</sup> the molecular orbital picture of chelating oxygen bonded to Pt/ $\theta$ -alumina exhibits occupied ligand  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  orbitals, four 5d orbitals from Pt, O<sub>2</sub>  $\pi^*$  orbitals, and unoccupied 5d<sub>xy</sub> of Pt and  $\sigma^*$  of O<sub>2</sub>. Molecular adsorption of O<sub>2</sub> results in weakening of the Pt interaction with surface oxygen anions.

These weakened interactions are inferred from the longer  $(\sim 0.09 \text{ Å})$  platinum bonds with surface oxygen atoms. The bond distance between platinum and the atoms of the adsorbed molecular oxygen are 1.98 Å, which compares favorably with that of 2.00 Å in  $(Ph_3P)_2Pt(O_2)$ . The O–O bond distance of 1.44 Å in (alumina)Pt( $O_2$ ) is shorter than the O–O distance of 1.50 Å observed in  $(Ph_3P)_2Pt(O_2)$  due primarily to the large O-Pt-O angle of 112.9° created by the Pt bonds to surface oxygen atoms (cf. P–Pt–P bond of  $101.7^{\circ}$  in  $(Ph_3P)_2Pt(O_2)$ ), thereby forcing oxygen atoms of the adsorbed oxygen molecule closer. Compared to single Pt atoms, the bonds of oxidized single platinum with surface oxygen are longer, suggesting weakening of the surface interaction with Pt upon oxidation. The absorption energy of  $Pt(O_2)$  on alumina surface is -57.48kcal/mol, which is 17.77 kcal/mol less than the absorption energy of Pt atoms<sup>23</sup> on the alumina surface. These data suggest that oxidized Pt atoms on the alumina surface are less strongly adsorbed than Pt atoms. These results are also consistent with a previous study on gas-phase single atoms which shows that single Pt atoms exist as oxidized species and will require very low oxygen pressure and high temperature to exist as a single atom.  $^{\rm 52}$ 

Weakening of platinum surface bonds in configuration II is evident from 0.2 Å longer platinum bonds with the surface oxygen atoms of alumina. The 1.79 Å Pt–O bond distance of the atomic oxygen bonded to platinum compares favorably with 1.79 Å reported for the atomic oxygen bond with platinum in the Pt/ $\alpha$ -alumina system.<sup>49</sup> The magnetization centered on the Pt–O fragment suggests unpaired electrons. The PtO fragment binding energy to the  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface is –1.66 eV. The magnetization is predominantly centered on Pt and atomic oxygen. Electronic density of states analysis shows partially occupied Pt d<sub>xy</sub> and d<sub>yz</sub> orbitals, suggesting a high-spin Pt structure (Figure 7).



**Figure 7.** PDOS for Pt d orbitals (black) and adsorbed oxygen 2p orbitals (blue and yellow) of configuration **II** (see Scheme 1). Filled black area is the contribution of  $Sd_{xy}$  and  $Sd_{yz}$ , and filled violet and gray areas are contributions of  $p_z$  and  $p_x$  for each.

Dissociative adsorption of oxygen molecules is unlikely because of the lack of another Pt atom in proximity, and  $O_2$ cannot dissociate to release a single oxygen atom. Our analysis also shows that dissociative adsorption of  $O_2$  is an endothermic step (5.68 eV for the loss of O from I) and an energetically unfavorable pathway for oxygen adsorption on Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

In addition, our all-electron DFT calculations combined with the first-principles thermodynamic approach show that configuration II is accessible only at a very low oxygen pressure (P)  $(P < 10^{-16} \text{ bar})$  and high temperatures (see Figure 8a). Differences in chemical potential between oxygen in configurations I and II are still over 2.5 eV at 2000 K at P = 1 bar (Figure 8b).

The L–H mechanism for CO oxidation requires CO and oxygen to be adsorbed on the Pt surface on adjacent platinum locations. Since single atoms do not have two available sites, it is necessary for both CO and oxygen to adsorb simultaneously on the atom. Such an intermediate has been proposed for CO oxidation on Pd single atoms.<sup>7</sup> Prior to investigating such an intermediate, we optimized the CO interaction with the platinum atom on  $Pt/\theta$ -alumina, as shown in Scheme 2.

Absorption of one molecule of CO on Pt in configuration III results in weakening of Pt adsorption on the surface as evident from the lengthening of surface Pt–O bonds by ~0.2 Å. The lack of a magnetic moment suggests no unpaired electron and either a d<sup>10</sup> or a d<sup>8</sup> Pt species. The projected density of states analysis for configuration III, shown in Figure 9, shows fully occupied d orbitals that suggest a d<sup>10</sup> species that bonds through  $\sigma_{\rm C}$ , of CO, and a 16-electron center. This type of 16electron center is known in organometallic chemistry,<sup>53</sup> and a compound of the formula,  $(Cy_3P)_2Pt(CO)$ , has been isolated and characterized. Pt–C and C–O bond distances for configuration III are 1.81 and 1.17 Å, respectively, and comparable to Pt–C and C–O distances of 1.885 and 1.131 Å reported, respectively, for  $(Cy_3P)_2Pt(CO)$ . Adsorption of two molecules of CO to Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> results in formation of



**Figure 8.** (a) Using a first-principles thermodynamics approach, the relative structural stabilities of configurations I and II (see Scheme 1) were calculated and the phase diagram as a function of oxygen pressure (P) and temperature (T) constructed. (b) Difference between the oxygen chemical potentials of configurations I and II at P = 1 bar.





**Figure 9.** PDOS for Pt d orbitals (black) and carbon 2p orbitals of adsorbed CO (blue) in **III**. Filled yellow area is the contribution of  $p_{x^*}$ 

configuration IV with loss of one Pt to a surface oxygen bond. The two CO molecules do not bond with equal strength to platinum. Pt–C bond distances are 2.053 and 1.844 for CO cis and trans to the surface oxygen that is bonded to Pt.

There is no magnetic moment associated with IV, and the density of states analysis shows filled d orbitals. Thus, IV remains a 16-electron species where 2 electrons from the surface oxygen are replaced with 2 electrons from CO. As mentioned previously, the single supported Pt atom can be expected to be oxidized. Although DFT calculations suggest that oxygen replacement by CO is energetically feasible, our results from the all-electron DFT calculations combined with the first-principles thermodynamic approach show that once

oxygen is adsorbed on Pt as in II it can be replaced by CO only at low oxygen pressure and very high temperatures (Figure 10).



**Figure 10.** Phase diagram of configurations I and III (see Scheme 2) as a function of temperature (T) and CO gas pressure ( $P_{CO}$ ) was obtained using the first-principles thermodynamics approach. Each line defines the phase boundary between I and III. Above the phase boundary, III is relatively more stable than I for a given oxygen pressure ( $P_{O2}$ ).

This result is quite different from the conventional L–H mechanism where CO first covers Pt sites and oxygen dissociatively covers residual sites. Since CO oxidation conditions are usually at atmospheric pressure and below 225  $^{\circ}$ C (~500 K), it is unlikely that CO replaces oxygen from I.

In view of these results, the likely pathway for CO oxidation (Scheme 3) will involve CO adsorption on an oxidized surface resulting in intermediate V. Intermediate V in Scheme 3 is a d<sup>10</sup> Pt species with filled d orbitals. The oxygen is bonded in monodentate mode, and magnetization is centered on molecular oxygen bonded to platinum. Rearrangement of V to a carbonate, VI, can occur with formation of carbon oxygen bonds between CO and the atoms of O2. This carbonate species, VI, also has an analogue<sup>54</sup> in organometallic chemistry, (Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>Pt(CO<sub>3</sub>), which is a Pt(II) complex. Like (Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>Pt- $(CO_3)$ , VI is a 16-electron d<sup>8</sup> species, and density of state analysis (Figure 11) shows no electrons in Pt  $5d_{xy}$  and C  $2p_z$ orbitals. Loss of CO2 from VI will lead to II, which can react with another molecule of CO to form VII. VII is a d<sup>8</sup> species with no magnetization associated with it, and density of states analysis shows empty  $5d_{xy}$ . Elimination of  $CO_2$  from VII regenerates the catalyst.

Scheme 3. Pathway for CO Oxidation on Single Pt Atoms Supported on the (010) Surface of  $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>





**Figure 11.** Density of states plots for Pt d orbitals (black) and carbon 2p orbitals of adsorbed CO (blue) in **VI**. Green and violet filled areas are for  $5d_x$  and  $2p_z$  contributions.

The energetics of the reactions shown in Scheme 3 can be summarized as follows

$$^{\circ}Pt + O_2 \rightarrow ^{*}Pt(O_2)(\mathbf{I}) - 1.8736eV$$

$${}^{*}Pt(O_{2})(\mathbf{I}) - O \rightarrow {}^{*}Pt(O)(\mathbf{II}) \quad 5.6875eV$$

$${}^{*}Pt(O_{2})(\mathbf{I}) + CO \rightarrow {}^{*}Pt(O_{2})(CO)(\mathbf{V}) - 1.9798eV$$

$${}^{*}Pt(O_{2})(CO)(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow {}^{*}Pt(CO_{3})(\mathbf{VI}) - 2.6396eV$$

$${}^{*}Pt(CO_{3})(\mathbf{VI}) - CO_{2} \rightarrow {}^{*}Pt(O)(\mathbf{II}) \quad 2.2204eV$$

$${}^{*}Pt(O)(\mathbf{II}) + CO \rightarrow {}^{*}Pt(O)(CO)(\mathbf{VII}) - 1.8102eV$$

$${}^{*}Pt(O)(CO)(\mathbf{VII}) - CO_{2} \rightarrow {}^{*}Pt - 1.4884eV$$

The asterisk (\*) in the equations represents the support, and calculations are based on the total energies presented in Table 1. All of the reactions are energetically favorable except loss of  $CO_2$  from **VI** which is an endothermic step. This mechanism seems to show that the surface will become covered with carbonate, unless *T* is high enough to dissociate it. DRIFTS experiments, described in the next section, indeed show the presence of  $CO_3$  species. Loss of  $CO_2$  has been previously proposed for CO oxidation on Pt nanoclusters also from an O– O–C=O species bonded to Pt nanocluster.<sup>12</sup> However, O– O–C=O is bonded to more than one Pt atom via O and C, which would be a less favorable configuration than **VI**. Our efforts to optimize a structure with O–O–C=O bonded to **V**.

Thus, the proposed mechanism shows a pathway that allows for a single supported Pt atom to be catalytically active by a modified L–H mechanism without involving the inert substrate. Considering that the supported single Pt atom is isoelectronic with organometallic species,  $(Ph_3P)_2Pt$ , and  $(Ph_3P)_2Pt(O_2)$  and  $(Ph_3P)_2Pt(CO_3)$  (analogues of proposed intermediates I and VI) have been isolated and characterized, the proposed pathway for supported single Pt atoms is quite likely.

**3.4. CO Adsorption Studies.** In order to obtain insights into CO oxidation pathways and obtain experimental evidence for the proposed mechanism, we carried out diffuse reflectance infrared spectroscopic (DRIFTS) studies of CO adsorption (Figure 12, left) on 0.18% and 1.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

CO adsorption on 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> results in a broad peak in the 2300–1800 cm<sup>-1</sup> range, at room temperature, with at least two identifiable peaks centered at ~2050 and ~2110 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The calculated vibrational spectrum of CO adsorbed on a single supported Pt atom (III) is also shown in Figure 12 (right). Considering that the room-temperature spectrum of 0.18% Pt/

Figure 12. DRIFTS spectra of CO adsorption on 0.18%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 25 and 170 °C (left), and calculated vibrational spectrum of III. Vertical lines correspond to the vertical lines in the observed spectrum shown on the left.

 $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> after exposure to CO is a broad peak, we refrain from comparison of observed and calculated spectrum. As discussed in previous sections, 0.18%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is an oxidized species, and it is likely that its vibrational spectrum is dominated by Pt-O bonds and/or intermediates of type V. Increasing the temperature to 170 °C resolves the broad structure, and five peaks centered at 2108, 2083, 2056, 2021, and 1981 cm<sup>-1</sup> are observed. At this temperature, CO bonds with Pt to form intermediates that contain both Pt-O and Pt-CO bonds or reacts with oxygen by releasing  $CO_{2}$ , thereby creating locations for Pt-CO bonds. The lack of absorption at ~1850 cm<sup>-1</sup> suggests a lack of bridging CO, which is not surprising for single Pt atoms. It should be pointed out that lack of absorption at  $\sim 1850 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  has also been noted previously due to low concentrations of bridging CO.<sup>55-63</sup> Previous assignments in the literature for supported Pt particles suggest that the 2108 cm<sup>-1</sup> absorption is due to CO on Pt(II) oxide and the absorption at 2083 cm<sup>-1</sup> is due to partially oxidized platinum.55-63 Since there are no studies on IR absorption of supported single atoms on an alumina substrate, we also assign these two absorptions to Pt atoms at various stages of oxidation. The 2080 cm<sup>-1</sup> absorption has previously been reported for  $Pt/FeO_x$ .<sup>11</sup> The features at ~2021 and 1981 cm<sup>-1</sup> have been previously reported for highly dispersed platinum supported on alumina and assigned to CO adsorbed on the metal cluster interacting with Lewis-type alumina centers.<sup>63</sup> In analogy with this assignment, we can assign these features to the interaction of the oxygen atom of CO adsorbed on the Pt single atoms on alumina substrate. The calculated vibrational spectrum (Figure 12, right) of CO adsorption on single supported Pt atom shows Pt-CO absorption at 2040 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a shoulder at 2080 cm<sup>-1</sup>. It is likely that some of the oxygen from Pt reacts with CO, forms CO<sub>3</sub>, and is eliminated as CO<sub>2</sub>. Under the reaction conditions, the clean Pt surface can then bond with CO. In comparison with the calculated Pt–CO frequency, we assign the peak at 2058 cm<sup>-1</sup> to Pt-CO vibrations.

In comparison, the CO adsorption on 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at room temperature is well resolved (Figure 13) with the strongest peak at 2065 cm<sup>-1</sup> and shoulders at 2118, 2104, 2083, and 1981 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Since 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> contains single atoms



2300 2250 2200 2150 2100 2050 2000 1950 1900 1850 1800 1750 1700 1650 1600 Wavenumber (cm<sup>-1</sup>)

Figure 13. DRIFTS spectra of CO adsorption on 0.18%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 1.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C.

and 10–20 atom rafts, we expect DRIFTS to reflect absorptions from both types of platinum.

As for 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the 2104 and 2083 cm<sup>-1</sup> absorptions can be assigned to terminal CO bonded to partially oxidized platinum while the one at 1981 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be assigned to CO adsorbed on the Pt interacting with Lewis-type alumina centers.<sup>55–63</sup> The 2118 and 2065 cm<sup>-1</sup> peaks are assigned to CO adsorbed on oxidized Pt of rafts based on literature assignments.<sup>55–63</sup> There is also a peak at 1847 cm<sup>-1</sup> that can be assigned to bridging CO.<sup>63</sup> Therefore, the IR features from CO adsorbed on 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> can be assigned as follows: absorptions at 2104, 2083, and 1981 cm<sup>-1</sup> are due to the ones originating from Pt single atoms, while those at 2118, 2065, and 1847 cm<sup>-1</sup> are from Pt rafts.

The proposed mechanism, described in the previous section, suggests that Pt single atoms will be covered by CO<sub>3</sub> unless the temperature is raised to facilitate CO<sub>2</sub> elimination. DRIFTS spectra of 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> do provide evidence for formation of a carbonate intermediate at room temperature, which supports the proposed mechanism. For 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, after the sample has been heated at 150 °C under a flow of hydrogen and cooled to room temperature, DRIFTS shows no peaks in the carbonate region. After exposure to a flow of CO at room temperature and flushing gasphase CO with He, a band at 1659 cm<sup>-1</sup> is observed which can be assigned to bidentate CO<sub>3</sub> in comparison with the CO<sub>3</sub> band at 1672 cm<sup>-1</sup> for (Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>PtCO<sub>3</sub>.



Figure 14. Calculated vibrational spectrum of VI.

The calculated vibrational spectra for VI shows an absorption at  $\sim 1730$  cm<sup>-1</sup> which is at 71 cm<sup>-1</sup> higher than the experimentally observed CO<sub>3</sub> vibration for 0.18% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Previous reports on calculated  $CO_3$  absorption on the Pt(111) surface employing cluster models also show a deviation of 49 cm<sup>-1</sup> and is considered remarkably close to the observed ones.<sup>66</sup> CO<sub>3</sub> absorption for 1.0% Pt/ $\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is slightly shifted to lower wavenumbers and observed at 1637 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The CO<sub>3</sub> band does not appear in 0.18%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or 1.0%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples at 170 °C after exposure to flowing CO, which has been flushed with flowing helium, suggesting that CO<sub>3</sub> decomposes at 170 °C. We did not determine the lowest temperature at which CO<sub>3</sub> starts to decompose. Thus, the results of the DRIFTS study suggest that single supported atoms adsorb CO at high temperatures (CO oxidation temperature) in a linear mode and provide evidence for formation of CO<sub>3</sub> at room temperature, which supports the proposed mechanism.

## Journal of the American Chemical Society

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that catalytically active supported single Pt atoms can be synthesized by simple solution methods on inert supports. STEM, IR, and EXAFS data show the samples to be primarily supported single Pt atoms. Previous theoretical studies had shown that such supported Pt atoms are isoelectronic with organometallic species (Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>Pt and can be catalytically active. We find that supported Pt single atoms are indeed catalytically active as seen by facile CO oxidation. Since the broadly accepted L-H mechanism cannot operate on supported single Pt atoms, we propose a variation of the L-H mechanism to explain CO oxidation on single supported atoms on an inactive support. Two of the proposed intermediates, I and VI, in the modified L-H mechanism have wellcharacterized analogues in organometallic chemistry. Diffuse reflectance infrared spectroscopy shows CO absorption bands which are generally observed for CO absorption on Pt at various stages of oxidation and provide evidence for formation of CO<sub>3</sub> at room temperature, which supports the proposed mechanism.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

TOF measurements and related references, EXAFS of 0.12%  $Pt/\theta$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, additional TEM data of samples, and a figure of the unit cell of \*Pt(O<sub>2</sub>). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

## **Corresponding Author**

E-mail: narulack@ornl.gov.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Vehicle Technologies, as part of the Propulsion Materials Program (C.K.N., M.M.D., M.Y., L.F.A., X.Y.) and Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering, Office of Basic Energy Sciences (G.M.S., D.R.M.), U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with UT-Battelle, LLC. DRIFTS and chemisorption were performed at the Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, which is sponsored at Oak Ridge National Laboratory by the Scientific User Facilities Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy (Z.W.). EXAFS experiments were conducted at the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory, supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886 with additional support through the Synchrotron Catalysis Consortium under grant DE-FG02-05ER15688. We thank Dr. V. Schwartz for assistance with chemisorption measurements.

#### REFERENCES

- (1) Heck, R. M.; Farrauto, R. J.; Gulati, S. T. Catalytic Air Pollution Control; Van Nostrand Reinhold: New York, 2009.
- (2) Langmuir, I. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1921, 17, 621.
- (3) Alavi, A.; Hu, P.; Deutsch, T.; Silvestrelli, P. L.; Hutter, J. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1998, 80, 3650.
- (4) Eichler, A.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. B 1999, 59, 5960.

- (5) Sadeghi, P.; Dunphy, K.; Punckt, C.; Rotermund, H. H. J. Phys. Chem. C. 2012, 116, 4686.
- (6) Haruta, M. Catal. Today 1997, 36, 153.
- (7) Abbet, S.; Heiz, U.; Hakkinen, H.; Landman, U. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2001, 26, 5950.
- (8) Chen, M.; Goodman, D. W. Science 2004, 306, 252.
- (9) Herzing, A. A.; Kiely, C. J.; Kiely, C. J.; Carley, A. F.; Landon, P.; Hutchings, G. J. *Science* **2008**, *321*, 1331.
- (10) Turner, M. Nature 2008, 454, 981.
- (11) Qiao, B.; Wang, A.; Yang, X.; Allard, L. F.; Jiang, Z.; Cui, Y.; Liu, J.; Li, J.; Zhang, T. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 634.
- (12) Allian, A. D.; Takanabe, K.; Fujdala, K. L.; Hao, X.; Truex, T. J.;
- Cai, J.; Buda, C.; Neurock, M.; Iglesia, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4498.
- (13) Uzun, A.; Ortalan, V.; Browning, N. D.; Gates, B. C. J. Catal. 2010, 269, 318.
- (14) Uzun, A.; Ortalan, V.; Hao, Y.; Browning, N. D.; Gates, B. C. ACS Nano 2009, 3, 3691.
- (15) Yang, X.-F.; Wang, A.; Qiao, B.; Li, J.; Liu, J.; Zhang, T. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, DOI: 10.1021/ar300361m.
- (16) Yudanov, I.; Pacchioni, G.; Neyman, K.; Rosch, N. J. Phys. Chem. B **1997**, 101, 2786.
- (17) Heck, R. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 246.
- (18) Narula, C. K.; Mak, K. T.; Heck, R. F. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 792.
- (19) Abbet, S.; Sanchez, A.; Heiz, U.; Schneider, W.-D.; Ferrari, A. M.; Pacchioni, G.; Roesch, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 3453.
- (20) Kyriakou, G.; Boucher, M. W.; Jewell, A. D.; Lewis, E. A.; Lawron, T. J.; Baber, A. E.; Tierney, H. L.; Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, M.; Sykes, E. C. *Science* **2012**, 335, 1209.
- (21) Kwak, J. H.; Hu, J.; Mei, D.; Yi, C.-W.; Kim, D.; Peden, C. H.; Allard, L. F.; Szanvi, J. Science 2009, 325, 1670.
- (22) Yates, J. T.; Worley, S. D.; Duncan, T. M.; Vaughan, R. W. J. Chem. Phys. 1979, 70, 1225.
- (23) Narula, C. K.; Stocks, G. M. J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116, 5628.
  (24) Chukhadzhyan, G. A.; Abramyan, Zh. I.; Evorkyan, G. A. Zh.
- Obsh. Khim. 1973, 43, 2012.
- (25) Urata, H.; Tsukui, T.; Suzuki, H.; Morooka, Y.; Ikawa, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1984**, 191.
- (26) Kumar, A.; Lichtenhan, J. D.; Critchlow, S. C.; Eichinger, B. E.; Borden, W. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 5633.
- (27) Narula, C. K.; Watkins, W. L. H.; Shelef, M. U.S. Patent no. 5,210,062, 1993.
- (28) Leenars, A. F.; Keizer, K.; Burggraaf, A. J. Mater. Sci. 1984, 19, 1077.
- (29) Nashner, M. S.; Frenkel, A. I.; Adler, D. L.; Shapley, J. R.; Nuzzo, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 7760.
- (30) Ravel, B.; Newville, M. J. Synchrotron Radiat. 2005, 12, 537.
- (31) Wu, Z. L.; Zhou, S. H.; Zhu, H. G.; Dai, S.; Overbury, S.H. J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 3726.
- (32) Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. B 1993, 47, 558.
- (33) Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. B 1993, 48, 13115.
- (34) Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 49, 14251.
- (35) Perdew, J. P.; Chevary, J. A.; Vosko, S. H.; Jackson, K. A.;
- Pederson, M. R.; Singh, D. J. Phys. Rev. B 1992, 46, 6671.
- (36) Perdew, J. P.; Chevary, J. A.; Vosko, S. H.; Jackson, K. A.;
- Pederson, M. R.; Singh, D. J. Phys. Rev. B 1993, 48, 4978.
- (37) Bloch, P. E. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 50, 17953.
- (38) Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. Phys. Rev. B 1999, 59, 1758.
- (39) Blum, V.; Gehrke, R.; Hanke, F.; Havu, P.; Havu, V.; Ren, X.; Reuter, K.; Scheffler, M. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2009**, *180*, 2175.
- (40) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. **1996**, 77,
- (10) Ferdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1997, 78, 1396.
- (41) Yoon, M.; Weitering, H.; Zhang, Z. Y. Phys. Rev. B 2011, 83, 045413.
- (42) Sinfelt, J. H.; Meitzner, G. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 1.

<sup>(43)</sup> Asakura, K.; Chun, W.-J.; Shirai, M.; Tomishige, K.; Iwasawa, Y. J. Phys. Chem B **1997**, 101, 5549.

## Journal of the American Chemical Society

- (44) Panchenko, A.; Koper, M. T. M.; Shubina, T. E.; Mitchell, S. J.; Roduner, E. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2004, 151, A2016.
- (45) Anderson, A. B.; ALbu, T. V. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2000, 147, 4229.
- (46) Jacob, T.; Muller, R. P.; Goddard, W. A., III J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 9465.
- (47) Eichler, A.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1997, 79, 4481.
- (48) Luntz, A. C.; Grimblot, J.; Fowler, D. E. Phys. Rev. B 1989, 39, 12903.
- (49) Xiao, L.; Schneider, W. F. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2010, 484, 231.
- (50) Cheng, P.-T.; Cook, C. D.; Nyburg, S. C.; Wan, K. Y. Can. J. Chem. 1971, 49, 3772.
- (51) Sakaki, S.; Hori, K.; Ohyohi, A. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 3183.
- (52) Xu, Y.; Shelton, W. A.; Schneider, W. F. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 16591.
- (53) Bertsch, S.; Braunschweig, H.; Forster, M.; Gruss, K.; Radacki, K. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 1816.
- (54) Gregg, M. R.; Powell, J.; Sawyer, J. F. Acta Crystallogr. 1988, C44, 43.
- (55) Von Oertzen, A.; Rotermund, H. H.; Nettesheim, S. Surf. Sci. 1994, 311, 322.
- (56) Spiel, C.; Vogel, D.; Suchorski, Y.; Drachsel, W.; Schoegl, R.; Rupprechter, G. Catal. Lett. 2011, 141, 625.
- (57) Gritsch, T.; Coulman, D.; Behm, R. J.; Ertl, G. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1989, 63, 1086.
- (58) Primet, M.; Basset, J. M.; Mathieu, M. V.; Prette, M. J. Catal. 1973, 29, 213.
- (59) Sheppard, N.; Nguyen, T. T. Adv. Infrared Raman Spectrosc. 1978, 5, 67.
- (60) Haaland, D. M.; Williams, F. L. J. Catal. 1982, 76, 450.
- (61) Primet, M. J. Catal. 1984, 88, 273.
- (62) Andersson, J. A.; Rochester, C. H. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1991, 87, 1479.
- (63) Menorval, L.-C.; Chaqroune, A.; Coq, B.; Figueras, F. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. **1997**, 93, 3715.
- (64) Hayward, P. J.; Blake, D. M.; Wilkinson, G.; Nyman, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 5873.
- (65) Balema, V. P.; Wiench, J. W.; Pruski, M.; Pecharsky, V. K. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 1606.
- (66) Markovits, A.; Garcia-Hernandez, M.; Ricart, J. M.; Illas, F. J. Phys. Chem. B **1999**, 103, 509.